



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED
FROM DIRECTORS OFFICE

WAGNER, MURABITO, & HAO LLP
Third Floor
Two North Market Street
San Jose, CA 95113

OCT 19 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re application of

Mohan Sankaran et al.

Application No. 09/882,733

: DECISION ON REQUEST
FOR WITHDRAWAL OF
ATTORNEY

Filed: June 15, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
PROVIDING TRANSFER OF
ANALYTIC APPLICATION DATA
OVER A NETWORK

This is a decision on the request filed on September 15, 2004, under 37 CFR 1.36 and MPEP 402.06, requesting permission to withdraw as the attorney of record in the above-identified application.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

Under 37 CFR 1.36 an attorney may withdraw only upon application to and approval by the Commissioner. It should be noted that a withdrawal is effective when approved, not when filed. For approval of such a request the following conditions must be met:

- A) Each attorney of record must sign the notice of withdrawal, or the notice must contain a clear indication of one attorney signing on behalf of another, because the Office does not recognize law firms;
- B) There must be at least 30 days between approval of the withdrawal and the later of the expiration date of a time period for reply or the expiration date of the period which can be obtained by a petition and fee for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a);
- C) A proper reason for the withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) or subsection (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided;
- D) The applicant or patent owner must have been notified of the withdrawal as provided for in 37 CFR 1.36.

09/882,733

The request to withdraw as attorney is not accepted in the above-identified application because the request lacks condition A) above.

As to condition A) The attorneys listed as being withdrawn do not match those appointed in Customer No. 41066. Therefore, some attorneys originally appointed would still be of record if the request were approved. It does not appear that that is the intent of the request. If only certain attorneys are withdrawing, then it must be so stated. Since the original attorneys were not given power by a customer number, and attorneys associated with a firm change over time, it is suggested that any renewed request simply state that the request is being made on behalf of all attorneys of record.



Kenneth J. Dorner
Special Programs Examiner
Patent Technology Center 3600
(703) 308-0866
Facsimile No.: (703) 605-0586

KJD/slb:10/13/04